ALUMINIUM GDC TECH
GREAT DIE CASTING

GREAT DIE CASTING TECHNOLOGY FORUM

JOURNAL FOR ALUMINIUM CASTING TECHNOLOGY

Volume 57 - April 2023

Forace Group

Latest Global Technologies and customized solutions for defect and cost reductions

Long Life High Technology Die Coats for LPDC and GDC from John Winter, U.K.

- Highly insulating Long life die coat
- Control the metal flow and heat transfer
- Minimum touch up required
- Provide insulation enabling control of cooling and solidification
- Textured to enable enhanced metal flow reducing cold shuts and entrapped gas
- Removes shrinkage defects from castings, gives excellent surface finish

High Performance HPDC Lubricants from JODOVIT, Italy

- Eases the casting release, produce clean and bright castings.
- Protect against soldering of aluminium on the die steel.
- Support the post cast process like painting and coatings
- Eliminates corrosion of the mold surface

Boron Nitride Coating

- BN H20 from John Winter, U.K
- VERNIX SCHELL X BN 33 from JODOVIT, Italy

- **Granulated fluxes**
- **Powder fluxes**
- **Degassing and Grain refining tablets**
- **Master Alloys**
- **Ladle coats**
- **Degassing and Flux Injector machines**
- **Advance Refractory products from Carborundum Universal Limited (CUMI), Mumbai**

FORACE GROUP

10th K M Stone, Haridwar-Delhi Road
Haridwar 249402, Uttarakhand, India

Tel: +91-1334-334000, +91-9068907918 / 9068907917

Email: mktg@foracepolymers.in
www.foracepolymers.net

Volume 57 - April 2023

ALUMINIUM GDC TECH GREAT DIE CASTING

Contents

SPECIAL REPORT ON INTERNATIONAL WOMEN'S DAY

Dear Readers,
We always look forward to your Feedback and comments on the Journal. Please do write to us.

GREAT DIECASTING TECHNOLOGY FORUM
'Guruprasad', 1st Floor, 37/4/A, 6th Lane, Prabhat Road, Pune 411 004 INDIA
Tel: +91 20 2567 0808, 2567 2555 | Mobile: +91 9764711315
gdctech@arkeycell.com, arkeyconference@arkeycell.com
www.gdctechforum.com

Note: Some images in some articles may not be clear. Interested readers may contact the author



THERMALLY-EFFICIENT CRUCIBLE TECHNOLOGY: FUNDAMENTALS, MODELLING, AND APPLICATIONS FOR ENERGY SAVINGS

Authors: Brian Pinto & Wenwu Shi, Foseco NAFTA

Multivariate mathematical models were created to simulate crucibles being used in aluminum foundry applications with detailed materials characterization data as inputs. The aim was to investigate the effects of crucible geometry and materials properties changes on the overall energy efficiency of the furnace toward melting and holding metal. Effects of key thermal properties were also studied to understand their influence on energy efficiency and thermal stresses, another key factor in understanding crucible behavior. Problems with evaluating these changes practically in foundries stems from the inability to separate out extrinsic factors that also affect furnace efficiency, such as unique configurations, furnace condition and, in some cases, poor operating practices. Since melting and holding metal in crucibles accounts for a large portion of energy demand in the foundry industry, recent advancements in crucible technologies resulting from these studies could significantly impact cost-efficiency and carbon footprint across the industry. In case studies of applications such as aluminum melting and holding, considerable improvements in field performance have been reported.

INTRODUCTION

The energy used for melting and holding metal accounts for nearly 40% of the total energy costs in a typical foundry [1]. Metal casting industries are known for high energy demands, low energy efficiency and high CO_2 emissions [2-4]. On average, the energy consumed by a foundry shop far exceeds that which it is predicted to use based on theoretical calculations [5-7]. This is due to inefficiencies associated with the activities of metal melting and casting; some are inherent to the process, while others are dependent on the types of equipment used as well as specific practices. There are opportunities to improve energy efficiency of a foundry operation, significantly reducing environmental impact while maintaining the sector's competitiveness in the process [8-10]. One of the most common methods used to melt metals is with an electric-resistance or fuel-fired furnace [11,12]. These furnaces contain molten metal at high temperatures within large refractory crucibles. To melt, energy from resistive elements or fuel combustion generated inside the furnace chamber against the outer crucible wall is directed to the metal charge inside and subsequently melts it [11,12]. Literature studies reveal that recommended energy-saving measures are to optimize the furnace configuration and/or improve its melting rate [13-16] with little or no focus on crucibles. If metal is molten, a well-insulated furnace expends only nominal energy to keep it at a set temperature, compensating for heat losses to the environment. However, to get to this point requires a tremendous amount of heat energy, not only to bring the metal to its liquidus temperature and melt it, but also to transmit that heat through a thick, high emissivity ceramic material having high specific heat capacity, all the while opposing the thermodynamic forces that favor carrying heat away to the atmosphere. The crucible is a physical barrier between the heat source and the molten metal, so it plays a pivotal role in determining metal melting efficiency. Thermal conductivity, specific heat capacity and geometry are the main factors, fixed quantities that govern heat transfer through a crucible.

This appears to provide convenient solutions for improving furnace energy efficiency. However, if one considers the many aspects of crucible and furnace use across the industry, the solution becomes more complex. For melting, fast heat conduction through a crucible is very desirable, whereas for holding, slow heat conduction is best. When a crucible is used for both melting and holding applications within the same furnace the challenge of creating a universally efficient crucible becomes more apparent. To add to this complexity, customer practices across the industry are so variable that even correlating a furnace's efficiency to its own crucible becomes extremely difficult. For example, if a furnace has poor insulation, then the effect of changing to a high-thermal-efficiency crucible will be completely clouded by the gross inefficiency of the furnace itself. This has been observed in many field tests. Although laws of thermodynamics predict improved performance, it does not play out this way in practice, making it very difficult to demonstrate an energy-saving crucible to a customer. Therefore, a better way to study and, to an extent, prove the effects of a crucible on thermal efficiency is to completely normalize the environment. In practice this is not possible; however, using theoretical modeling based on finite element analysis methods it can be done. This paper explores how heat flow behavior and energy efficiency can be studied based solely on changes made to the crucible material properties and design in 2D and 3D computer models, keeping the rest of the system constant. In doing so, the benefits of advanced crucible technologies start to become clear.

EXPERIMENTAL

Finite element analysis (FEA) was performed using ABAQUS 6.11 package with its heat transfer and temperature-displacement modules. A two-dimensional heat flow model was created based on the model for a typical bowl-shaped crucible (i.e. BU500) filled with 400 kg of molten aluminum. A three-dimensional model was based on a 100-kW electric-resistance crucible furnace, from which temperature and energy consumption data were derived. For simulation in the computer models, multiple crucible types were considered, including both carbon- and ceramic (clay)-bonded varieties. As with any computer simulation, to develop the most realistic model, reliable "real-world" data are needed to describe the materials being tested. From specimens of finished crucible refractory, many properties were measured, to include: bulk density, porosity, specific gravity, modulus of rupture (MOR), elastic (Young's) modulus, thermal conductivity, and specific heat capacity (Table I). Energy data collected from customer trials was done so using a custom energy monitoring device (FCTM-2, Foseco) capable of simultaneously monitoring energy usage and molten metal throughput on the furnace.

Property	Units	Temperature (°C)	Ref. ASTM standard
Bulk Density	g/cm^3	25	C830-00
Apparent Porosity	%	25	C830-00
Apparent Specific Gravity	-	25	C830-00
Modulus of Rupture	MPa	25; 800; 1200	C78-02
Elastic Modulus	GPa	25 - 1600	E1875-13
Thermal Conductivity	W/m·K	200 - 1000	E1461-13
Specific Heat Capacity	J/kg·K	200 - 1000	E1461-13

Table I. List of material property inputs for thermomechanical modeling of crucibles.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A two-dimensional axisymmetric model was constructed for the express purpose of studying the effects changes to crucibles (i.e. geometry; refractory properties) have on heat flow and aluminum melting efficiency. The model assumes a continuous, uniform heat flux is applied to the outside of a crucible (Figure 1). The model also assumes the crucible is partially filled with aluminum, allowing the inclusion of radiative heat transfer from a molten bath surface and the inside upper wall of the crucible. Figure 1B shows the nodal temperature contours at 3970 s and 5470 s of the simulation, which demonstrate the temperature gradients within the aluminum and the crucible. Without metal against the crucible upper wall region to absorb the heat, it can end up superheated; heat can only be dissipated by radiation or downward conduction through the wall. This situation could lead to thermal shock cracks. Fortunately, the model is somewhat simplistic by assuming uniform heat flux; in an actual furnace the heating elements are typically shorter than the crucible is tall, which results in reduced heating of the upper wall.

While this does alleviate superheating problems, it tends to create the opposite situation – localized underheating, which leads to poor glaze protection, oxidation, and eventual thermal shock cracks anyway. The best practice is to use the furnace in a way that achieves a balance in these two phenomena; fill levels should be as high as safely possible to avoid steep temperature gradients along the crucible wall. On the underside of the crucible at the center (Figure 1B) is its lowest relative temperature because it heats up the slowest. Within the aluminum, the lowest temperature position is in the top center (Figure 1B) due to its distance from the elements combined with surface radiation heat loss. However, since aluminum thermal conductivity is much higher that refractory, the temperature gradient in the metal is much smaller than within the crucible walls.

Figure 2 shows results of a heating simulation focusing on the location identified as the lowest aluminum temperature position ('x' in Figure 1B) plotted versus time. As shown in Figure 2A, each curve has three distinct regions; temperatures rise very quickly in the first region (I) due to rapid heat conduction through solid aluminum. On reaching the solidus temperature (557°C) the slope decreases significantly due to the latent heat absorbed for fusion (⍰Hf = 398 kJ/kg), defining the second region (II). On exceeding the liquidus (613°C), the temperature starts to rise quickly again (III). Figure 2A also shows seven different plots, each of which represents the same simulation but with a difference in crucible material (A – F) with pure graphite (G) as a reference. This allows for the prediction of time required to fully melt a specific aluminum quantity as a function of crucible composition (Figure 2B). The process time ranged from 193 min to 234 min for refractory compositions (best to worst) and 154 min for pure graphite. The use of pure graphite in the model is solely as a theoretical upper limit for the graphite-containing refractory compositions (A-F). The reason for differences in the melt times for the refractory is related to several key properties, which, through proper development can be tailored to produce a more thermally efficient material. The two most influential properties in this case are thermal conductivity (k) and specific heat capacity (c). A high thermal conductivity means that heat transfer through a material is faster than through a material with a low thermal conductivity. Conversely, a material with high specific heat capacity requires



Figure 1. (A) Two-dimensional crucible model showing heat flux applied on the outside surface. (B) Temperature profiles of crucible and molten metal in different time intervals with energy-efficient mix (3970 s and 5470 s).



Figure 2. (A) Temperature profiles of the coldest point inside (highlighted in Figure 1) crucible with different compositions. Latent heat was set as 389 kJ/kg. Solidus temperature is 557°C and the liquidus temperature is 613°C. (B) Estimated time for the molten metal to be heated at 750°C.

more absorbed energy to increase its temperature than one with a low specific heat capacity. Table II lists the thermal conductivity and specific heat capacities for different crucible compositions.

For Material A, thermal conductivity is low and specific heat capacity is high, resulting in the longest time required to melt the aluminum, and consequently the highest energy cost. Material B has the highest overall thermal conductivity but it also has a very high specific heat capacity; therefore, the melt time was only nine minutes less than Material A. Through R&D efforts to optimize these properties and maximize efficiency, melt times were reduced via Materials C, D and E. Eventually, Material F was developed, with high thermal conductivity paired with low specific heat capacity (branded as ENERTEK*). These properties, when entered in the thermal model predicted a 19.2% improvement in heating efficiency, melt time reduction of 41 minutes and energy cost savings of $8.02 per metric ton.

In addition to material properties, geometric features of a crucible, particularly shape and size, can be highly influential over its energy efficiency. Table III compares simulations of two different crucible configurations. One is a relatively small crucible with 181 kg capacity; the other is a much larger, crucible that can hold 816 kg of aluminum. By altering the crucible geometry and re-running 2D melting time simulations, it becomes evident that increasing the crucible size has a significant effect. As shown earlier, a change to a more efficient crucible material (from Material E to ENERTEK) alone results in a net energy cost reduction.

When applied to the small 181 kg crucible, the improvement is a modest 2.4% per MT. However, by making the material substitution and also increasing the crucible size to 4x capacity, the energy cost per MT of aluminum melted drops significantly from $8.02 to $3.23, a 61% reduction. This is because the mass ratio of crucible to aluminum changes significantly such that more total energy is used melting the aluminum than heating up the crucible. The absolute masses of refractory and metal are higher in the larger crucible; therefore, the total time to melt increases to 351 minutes, but the overall melt rate is increased from 0.91 kg/min to 2.32 kg/min, an increase of 154%. To melt the equivalent mass in the smaller crucible would take at least 2.5 times as long to achieve, not including recharging and melt transfer time. It is true a smaller crucible can melt a lesser amount of aluminum faster, so depending on the throughput of a foundry a smaller crucible may be beneficial to prevent wasted energy (keeping a large crucible molten until the excess metal is completely consumed). For melting large quantities of aluminum, a large crucible is more energy efficient on a cost-per-kg basis, but it does take longer; time has associated costs as well.

As with most efforts to improve properties, there are limitations and trade-offs. Since crucibles are subjected to a wide range of temperatures and the rate of change (ΔT) can vary greatly, thermal stresses are inevitably generated within the material during use. Cracking failure and/or reduced longevity are both effects of thermal stresses, since refractory materials possess limited ductility. While seeking improved thermal efficiency through material changes, the intensity of the residual stresses could be unknowingly increased such that the crucible simply cannot survive the application. Fortunately, another useful feature of the modeling software permits simulation of thermal stresses as a function of material properties, crucible geometry, and temperature. Along with measured mechanical and physical properties data already entered into the model, temperature profiles from actual heating cycles of various crucibles were also collected with a datalogger.

Material	Thermal Conductivity (W/m·K)		Specific Heat Capacity (J/kg·K)		Time to Melt (min)	Total Energy Use (kWh)	Cost ($/MT)
	at 200ºC	at 600ºC	at 200ºC	at 600ºC			
A	7.42	6.69	1200	1892	234	103.5	9.72
B	57.03	42.05	1169	1553	225	99.5	9.34
C	29.33	22.45	1330	1790	223	98.6	9.27
D	31.73	20.86	840	1384	216	95.5	8.97
E	27.92	23.41	891	1316	198	87.5	8.22
F (ENERTEK)	43.06	35.82	825	1133	193	85.3	8.02
Graphite	175	171	710	710	154	68.1	6.39

Table II. Physical properties of different crucible compositions with model-predicted total melting times, energy consumption, and associated costs.

Material		Thermal Conductivity (W/m·K)		Time to Melt (min)	Melting Rate (kg/min)	Cost ($/MT)
		at 200ºC	at 600ºC			
E	181	27.9	23.4	198	0.91	8.22
F (ENERTEK)	181	43.1	35.8	193	0.94	8.02
F (ENERTEK)	816	43.1	35.8	351	2.32	3.23

Table III. Comparison of melting time and energy cost for crucibles with different capacities.

Using this added information, thermal stress states could be predicted using the temperature-displacement model in ABAQUS.

Figure 3 shows an example of the information gained through the computer model. A crucible made from a traditional refractory (Material E) experiences a maximum thermal stress of 15 MPa during heating.

By changing the crucible to a thermally efficient composition (ENERTEK), the maximum thermal stress is reduced significantly, to 8.8MPa. In this situation, efforts to improve thermal efficiency also lowered the thermal stress, but this is not always the case. To illustrate this point, consider the earlier assertion that using a larger crucible is better because thermal efficiency is much higher. This is true but with an increase in crucible diameter size, so does the distance between the lowest temperature location in the crucible bottom (Figure 1B) and the heating elements. This longer conduction path through the crucible results in a larger temperature gradient in the crucible wall, which generates higher thermal stresses.

Shown in Figure 4, a 1055-mm-OD crucible has a much higher thermal stress (15.8 MPa) compared to one with a 655-mm-OD (8.9 MPa). The high stress approaches the strength of the crucible refractory itself. For this situation, to achieve high thermal efficiency of large crucibles without exceeding the material design stresses, it is necessary to utilize thermally efficient compositions where high thermal conductivity helps to reduce temperature gradients and, in so doing, thermal stress.



Figure 3. (A) Comparisons of thermal stress for large crucibles with traditional and thermally efficient mix compositions. (B) Comparison of thermal conductivities for two different crucible materials.



Figure 4. Predicted maximum thermal stress in crucibles with different dimensions. (A) 615 mm OD and 900 mm height, and (B) 1055 mm OD and 1100 mm height. Deformation scale is 100.

Two-dimensional modeling allows the rapid calculation of energy efficiency and the study of different compositional effects; however, it is an oversimplification of a vastly more complicated system, neglecting several important features and behaviors of an actual crucible furnace. The configuration and position of the electric furnace heating elements is not well-defined in the 2D model- a constant surface heat flux is not very realistic. This type of accuracy is very difficult to achieve since most crucible furnaces operate around a temperature set point not unlike a thermostat. Thus, the heat flux experienced by the crucible exterior is more cyclic in nature, with high and low temperatures bracketing the set point (Figure 5). Furthermore, the heat source isn't a continuum around the crucible, but rather discrete element blocks with a finite size and location in the furnace.

To better simulate this, an improved three-dimensional model based on a typical electric resistance furnace was constructed.

Figure 6A shows twelve (12) heating panels distributed around a crucible. Figure 6B shows the meshes used for 3D modeling. Since symmetry still exists within the furnace, one 30-degree segment was modeled using dimensions scaled to an actual furnace, taking into consideration the crucible, aluminum, heating elements, and insulation. As mentioned earlier, the heat flux from the elements is not constant. Figure 6C (black line) shows the actual power consumed by the furnace measured with a data logger. By considering the power factor, the input to the model was calculated (red line) to closely simulate the actual case.

The energy was input as body heat flux into 11 rows of tubular elements. Six different heat transfer scenarios were considered for the model:

1. Body heat flux input to heating elements that converts to radiation.
2. Radiation heat from heating elements projecting onto the crucible exterior.
3. Conduction heat transfer between heating elements and the block insulation.
4. Conduction heat transfer between the crucible and the aluminum.
5. Radiation heat transfer between insulation and the outside of the crucible.
6. Radiation heat losses from the melt surface and top of the crucible.

Figures 7A and 7B show visualizations of the model with colors representing component temperatures (red >> blue) at 1 hr and 2 hrs, respectively. In this time, the heating elements reach very high temperatures, especially toward the bottom and at the element edges. This is because their distance to the crucible is larger in these areas, which reduces radiative heat transfer rates.

Like the two-dimensional model, a temperature relative minimum is at the bottom-center of the crucible, where the differential can be as high as 300°C. Figures 7C, 7D, and 7E show similar temperature contours when the aluminum (coldest location) is at 500°C, 600°C, and 700°C. Rather than repeating the studies performed using the 2D model, it was decided to use the 3D model to study other aspects of crucible geometry with respect to melt time. Crucibles were modeled after designs comprised of high-efficiency refractory material (ENERTEK). Then, based on the geometric design changes, their energy consumption and theoretical efficiency were calculated and compared. The first was a standard crucible design but the subsequent models were that of a similar shape but with increasingly thinner wall cross-sections (larger ID). Figure 8 shows a plot of the lowest temperature location in the melt (circle in Figure 7) for both crucibles as a function of time. Figure 8B lists predicted characteristics of both crucibles; 'efficiency' is the ratio of energy used for heating and melting the metal to the total energy expended (x 100%).

This exercise reveals that changing the crucible dimensions has an increasingly significant effect of reducing the mass of the crucible while the volume of aluminum (capacity) has increased. Although there is little change to the melting time, the overall energy use is reduced per kg of aluminum. For this system the maximum melt rate is increased 15% from 1.25 to 1.44 kg/min. For the same amount of energy expenditure by the furnace, more of it is directed to the metal due to the lower refractory mass to absorb it. This increases the efficiency from 65.8% to 72.4%. Over the long-term this can add up to a significant amount of savings. It should be noted that to perform the same simulation using data from a typical crucible material, a similar trend would be observed, albeit to a lesser extent in the absence of the higher efficiency crucible material.



Figure 5. Plots of temperature versus time on a 100-kW electric-resistance crucible furnace, showing the cyclic nature of the heating and cooling (metal and chamber versus fixed set point = 720°C).



Figure 6. (A) Photo showing the distribution of 12 elements (dodecagon). (B) Meshes showing the insulation panel, heating elements, crucible, and aluminum melt (30o model with 39723 nodes and 35122 elements). (C) Energy consumption measured using an energy meter (kVA) for a typical melting cycle and estimated input to the finite element model.



Figure 7. Simulated temperature profiles inside an electrical resistance furnace after (A) 1 h and (B) 2 h. Temperature of isolated crucible and aluminum when nodal temperature (circle) is (C) 500°C, (D) 600°C, and (E) 700°C.

From these simulations it is clear that by utilizing a thermally efficient crucible material coupled with a lower mass/larger capacity design, the melting of aluminum can be done in a more energy-conscious manner. The next logical step was to validate results produced by the simulations. An ENERTEK crucible with reduced mass and increased capacity was manufactured for a special trial at a US foundry. The application was manual sand casting from two near-identical electric resistance furnaces. Furnace use was such that both were filled but only one was used at a time; therefore, one furnace was always holding while the other was being used to cast. What made this a particularly good trial site was that both furnaces were being used for the same operation by the same operators, providing the best chance at minimizing uncontrolled variables while still in an industrial setting. Additionally, both furnaces were only used one shift (8 hrs/day) and then idled for the remainder of the time. This presented an opportunity to collect energy consumption during many different modes of furnace operation.

Throughput of the furnace was accurately measured using a custom crucible energy/throughput monitor capable of constantly measuring energy use and able to keep track of the amount of metal cast per day. This allowed for normalization of energy results to the quantity of aluminum cast. Based on an experiment spanning a six-month period where a standard competitor crucible was compared to an energy-efficient ENERTEK crucible (Figure 9), energy savings during casting was on the order of 20% in favor of the energy-efficient crucible (764 kWh/MT vs. 605 kWh/MT).

While holding the total energy use was also reduced, by 14% (30.4 MWh to 26.0 MWh). Extrapolating from this study, it is estimated that for a single furnace in constant operation, the annual potential energy savings could be as high as 26 Mwh, or $2500 in electricity savings per year (est. $0.08/kWh). This also translates to a reduction of 16,573 kg of CO_2 emissions per furnace per year. In a foundry that utilizes many furnaces, the total savings could be quite substantial.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Using traditional evaluation methods, uncontrolled field trials, or simple energy comparisons, it has proven very difficult to justify changing to an energy-efficient crucible. Almost always the benefits are obscured in the presence of other foundry practice-related variables that detract from equipment efficiency. Were the foundry to eliminate or minimize these issues; often it is something simple like replacing deteriorated insulation, keeping the furnace lid closed more- the benefits of an energy-saving crucible would become more obvious. With theoretical modeling it is possible to eliminate these variables from the equation- to estimate differences in energy efficiency directly influenced by changes made to crucible geometry and composition, as well as gain insight as to the limits to which these features can be changed to support energy-saving initiatives. It is critically important not to neglect considering how changes to composition and/or geometry will affect the stress state of the crucible, particularly as a function of temperature. Fortunately, with a nominal amount of additional information, these conditions can be simulated in a computer model as well. With the ability to understand the characteristics and thermal behavior of crucibles to a degree that is relatively unexplored, new materials were developed that not only showed high promise in the theoretical realm, but also showed definite improvements when applied to an actual crucible in a real foundry operation under close surveillance where actual data collected was able to validate the computer models. Extrapolating this achievement across an entire foundry's operation could have large implications with respect to increased energy savings, minimizing carbon footprint and reducing overall costs of operation.

These concepts are constantly being considered by foundry owners and managers; with the help of these and other evaluation tools they can begin to understand that something as unassuming as a crucible can have a significant impact on their bottom line.



Figure 9. Energy consumption for two different type of crucibles, traditional and thermal efficient mix with reduced ID used for (A) Casting furnace and (B) Holding furnace for a 6-month testing period.



B	ENERTEK mix			
Wall Thickness	(43 mm)	(37 mm)	(31 mm)	(25 mm)
Crucible Mass (kg)	173	157	132	111
Al Mass (kg)	353	366	379	403
Melt Time (min)	282	280	279	279
Melt Rate (kg/min)	1.25	1.30	1.36	1.44
Energy Use (kJ/kg)	1461	1400	1341	1264
Efficiency (%)	65.8	68.7	71.6	72.4

Figure. 8 (A) Temperate profiles for the standard crucible and crucible with increased ID. (B) Comparison of weight of crucible, weight of Aluminum, and melt time, energy consumption, and theoretical efficiency as a function of refractory wall thickness.

REFERENCES

1. K. Salonitis, B. Zeng, H.A. Mehrabi, M. Jolly, Procedia CIRP 40, 24 (2016).
2. J.Y, Kwon, W. Choate, R. Naranjo. "Advanced Melting Technologies: Energy Saving Concepts and Opportunities for the Metal Casting Industry." US Department of Energy, Metal Casting Portfolio 7 (2005).
3. P.Rohdin, P.Thollander, P. Solding, Energy Policy 35, 672 (2007).
4. P. Thollander, S. Backlunk, A. Trianni, E. Cagno, Appl. Energy 111, 636 (2013).
5. Schifo, J. F., and J. T. Radia. "Theoretical/best practice energy use in metalcasting operations." US Department of Energy Industrial Technologies Program, Report (2004).
6. M.R. Jolly, K. Salonitis, F. Charnley, P. Ball, H. Mehrabi, E. Pagone. Light Metals, ed. A.P. Ratvik (New York, NY: Springer, 2017), p. 917.
7. T.E. Norgate, S. Jahanshahi, W. J. Rankin, J. Cleaner Prod. 15, 838 (2007).
8. R.M. Torielli, R.A. Abrahams, R.W. Smillie, R.C. Voigt, China Foundry 8, 74 (2011)
9. S. Dalquist, T. Gutowski, Proceeding of IMECE. 62599, (2004).
10. S. Fore, C. T. Mbohwa. J. eng. design technol. 8, 314 (2010).
11. Brown, John. Foseco non-ferrous foundryman's handbook, 11th ed. (Woburn, MA: Butterworth-Heinemann, 1999).
12. D.M. Stefanescu, ASM Handbook Vol. 15 Casting, (ASM International, 2008).
13. A.O. Nieckele, M.F. Naccache, M.S.P. Gomes. Appl. Therm. Eng. 31, 841 (2011).
14. R.T. Bui, R. Ouellet. Metall. Mater. Trans. B 21, 487 (1990).
15. Trinks, Willibald. Industrial furnaces. Vol. 1. (John Wiley & Sons, 2004).
16. K. Pericleous, V. Bojarevics, G. Djambazov, R.A. Harding, M. Wickins, Appl. Math. Model. 30, 1262 (2006).

Report on
GDCTECH FOCUS 2023

Great Diecasting Technology Forum (GDCTECH FORUM) had organised Conference GDCTECH FOCUS On 9-10 February 2023 at The Pride Hotel, Pune.
The conference was Inaugurated by Mr. Vishwanath G. Jalnapurkar, Director, SOM Autotech Pvt. Ltd., Aurangabad.
Keynote address given by Mr. Satish S., Managing Director, DAA CONSULTING PVT. LTD.
Technical conference was held with the following subjects:

- **Factors Affecting Rm Cost**

Mr. Kundan Shivade, Manager-Purchase,
VULKAN TECHNOLOGIES PVT. LTD

- **Energy Efficient Way Of Aluminium Melting & Holding**

Mr. Prakash Maladkar, Managing Partner,
AFECO HEATING & AUTOMATION PVT. LTD.

- **Important Aspects Of Cost Optimization Strategies**

Mr. Satish S., Managing Director,
DAA CONSULTING PVT. LTD.

- **Die Cost Optimization**

Mr. Bharataj Patil, Quality and Diecasting design head , GODREJ & BOYCE MFG. CO. LTD.

- **Hidden Costs – Identification And Reduction**

Mr. Anand Joshi, Consultant - Aluminium Extrusion & Non-Ferrous Foundry

- **Cost Optimization Through Right Recruitment**

Mr. Makarand Deshpande, EX HR Head

- **Yield Improvement For The Hpdc Process**

Mr. Rajesh R. Aggarwal, Director,
TECHSENSE ENGINEERING SERVICES

- **The P&L Statement Understanding, Controlling & Maximizing Profit. (For The Die Casting Fraternity).**

Mr. Kishor Dukare, Vice President (2W Alloy Wheel Div.),
MINDA INDUSTRIES LTD.

- **Machines (Cpex)**

Mr. Anil S. Kulkarni, Chairman & Managing Director,
POOJA CASTINGS PVT. LTD.

- **Base Metals Contracts – Price Risk Management**

Chittaranjan Rege, Head – Base Metals, MCX India

- **Instrumentation For Energy Conservation**

Mr. Vishwas Kale, VIJAYESH INSTRUMENTS

Valedictory address given by Mr. Ratish Choulkar, Sr. Vice President – Operations, Sigma Engineered Solutions
Total 30 Delegates Attended the Programme
Mr. R. T. Kulkarni, Vice Chairman, proposed vote of thanks to all participants and assured to meet this year again.

Inauguration

Audience

UPCOMING EVENT AT DELHI

TWO DAYS TRAINING PROGRAMME ON

Melting and Molten Metal Treatment of Aluminium Casting Alloys
&
Casting Defects - Analysis and Remedial Measures

26 - 27 April 2023 (Wed-Thu) | Time: 9.30 am to 5.30 p.m.

DELHI GYMKHANA CLUB

Quiz Competition
26 April 2023
Evening

GDCTECH OFFICE
+91 9764711315

Artificial Intelligence

Vishwas Kale, Managing Director, Vijayesh Instruments Pvt Ltd, Pune
vish1945@gmail.com

Artificial intelligence (AI), sometimes called machine intelligence, is intelligence demonstrated by machines. This is in contrast to the natural intelligence displayed by humans. The field is defined as the study of "intelligent agents", any device that perceives its environment and takes actions that maximize its chance of successfully achieving its goals. Artificial intelligence is many times used to describe machines or computers who can mimic human functions such as learning and problem solving.

As machines become increasingly capable, tasks considered to require intelligence are often removed from the definition of AI. For instance, optical character recognition is frequently excluded from things considered to be AI, having become a routine technology. Modern machine with capabilities of AI include understanding human speech, autonomously operating cars, intelligent routing in networks etc.

Artificial intelligence is used to network machines and systems. This improves productivity and creates more transparent processes. The use of artificial intelligence creates the basis for efficient predictive analytics models. Theoretical AI says that Intelligence (be it natural or artificial) has three types: Artificial Narrow Intelligence (ANI), Artificial General Intelligence (AGI), Artificial Super Intelligence (ASI) More artificial intelligence, specifically natural language AI, is finding its way into Alexa and in more ways. Amazon says it has been using neural networks to make Alexa's voice sound more human when it translates text (like your text messages) into speech

The use of a predictive analytics system is very useful. Errors in one work step can trigger a chain reaction and lead to a production standstill. The software uses the IoT platform to predict possible deviations in the production chain. The maintenance service records and evaluates various data such as pressure, temperature etc. By analyzing the data collected, it is possible to improve the performance of the machines and increase productivity. Machine Learning can further optimize the overall process quality, cycle time and energy consumption. Disturbances can thus be significantly minimized, and costs saved.

In foundries, there are two main development trends in the modelling techniques for the manufacturing process. These are based on numerical simulation and artificial intelligence. The numerical simulation method depends on the strict mathematics model and scientific mechanism, and so it is difficult to predict the final structure and properties by computers. The artificial intelligence method has ability to learn from the empirical data, can summarize regularly, automatically build models, and predict the future just as a human brain does.

With the development of technology, the requirement of new process materials is enhancing continuously. Therefore, study and production of materials become more and more complex. To solve this problem, multi-discipline knowledge (foundry, physics, chemistry, mathematics, artificial intelligence, computer science) and multi-science methods (laboratory analysis, induction and deduction method) have to be combined.

This has given rise to the concept of material design, which focuses on how to predict and optimize the performance of foundry material by modelling the chemical composition, structure and physical property through scientific theory and computation. It is as a viable method to build models that reflect the relationship between the material components, technology, and properties of material according to the empirical data, which come from scientific research and productive practice. Optimizing the components and technology by using effective optimal method makes the empirical design of the material to be possible. In order to design material precisely, one has to create a reasonable and accurate simulation model at first. But due to the manufacturing procedure of material, whose dynamic process characteristics has many complex variations such as temperature field, flow field, structure field, stress field, deformation field, melting, solidification etc. the production mechanism of forging material is still not fully clear.

The design of metal procvessing system was originally dependent on the experience and skill of the engineers. Now to reduce these dependency a lot of research work has been performed. Computer generated programs and algorithms for identification and analysis of finished product are developed. Several computer based software are also used for forging design utilising modulus method considering geometry of the given casting.
Here are some steps to explain the steps businesses can take to integrate AI and ensure that the implementation is a success.

Ask these questions and proceed further if the answers are in the affirmative.
Have you a large business data and wish to have competitive advantages using it?
Do you wish to understand your customer better and increase the retention rate with innovative use of your data?
Do you intend to explore more and also to identify many other or new sources of revenue?
So steps are:

1) Collect and access appropriate data.
   Check the type of data that you have so far – disciplined or undisciplined.
   Important is to focus on collecting and accessing those data details that can help to solve business priorities and issues.
2) Make a scheme.
   Try to correlate your accumulated data with your business goals and challenges.
   Think how it will help to achieve them
   Organize the given data in a manageable way
   Understand the data, what is ethically allowed to stock up and use
3) Narrow all things down
   This is the time to focus on what matters to your business. Now with the knowledge of what data is important and what will help to achieve business goals, keep focussed on it.
   Concentrate on the data that matters
4) Test your data.
   It is the time to create a prototype and test the datasets.
   Program the algorithms to get answer to the questions by using relevant data For better results, have a partner who can bring fresh insights and experience
   Make the prototype work
5) Make it work
   Integrate the prototype into business process
   Operationalize and standardize the data insights to share with the entire organization.

But you may face some challenges while implementing AI.
Check out some of those high-level pain points:
Lack of technical know-how
Incomplete or other information affected data
Expensive human resources
Slow and erratic computation speed
Succeed by overcoming these by using outside expertise and having full use of AI

UPCOMING EVENT AT PUNE

ENERGY SYSTECH

ALUMINIUM FURNACES

Sklenar-type Melting Furnace

"Bulk Melting solutions"

Salient Features:

- Rugged construction with smooth & jerk-free tilting.
- Efficient combustion system.
- Easy charging of material into the furnace.
  Manual or Skip Hoist type.
- Easy dross cleaning.
- Long refractory life.
- Rapid & economical melting.
- Low melt loss.

Electrical Stationary Furnaces

Electrical Hydraulic Tilting Furnaces

Nitrogen Degassing Machine (auto)

Density Index Unit

Other Products for the Aluminium Industry

- Electrical Furnaces (Crucible)
- Fuel Fired Furnaces
- Electrical & Fuel Fired Tilting Furnaces
- Heat Treatment Furnaces
- Rotary Degassing Unit
- Density Index Unit

ENERGY SYSTECH

PLOT NO 99, SECTOR 7, PCNTDA, BHOSARI, PUNE - 411 026. ☎+91 9175955198, +91 9657715917

info@energysystech.com I www.energysystech.com

YOU DESIRE, WE TILT

Tilt Pour, Gravity & Low Pressure Die Casting Machines

AITF Management Certification Ltd. ISO 9001 CERTIFICATION | NABCB QM 055

catengic
engineered to perfection
An ISO 9001:2015 certified company

CATENGIC FOUNDARY MACHINES
Plot no. 276, Sector 7, PCNTDA, MIDC Bhosari, Pune 411026
M: +91 98906 91221 / +91 98237 80339
E: catengic.jb@gmail.com W: www.catengicjb.com

MINDA INDUSTRIES LTD.

(Alloy Wheel 2W Division)

- FIFO at all stages of production cycle.
- Single piece flow
- Unidirectional flow
- Minimised Material Handling.
- Raw material to finished product in one shed
- Casting movements only through conveyers or AGVs.
- Flexible production set-up for variety of models
- Training room / DOJO room for operators Training
- Implementation of Industry 4.0

- Minda Industries Ltd has set up fully integrated manufacturing facility for Alloy wheel 2 wheeler.
- Facilities include state of art infrastructure for Foundry, Machining and Painting (Powder Coating & Liquid Painting) providing one stop solution
- Flexibility to manufacture a variety of sizes (range 10-19 Inches) & surface coats
- Location: Supa Industrial Area- 86 KM from Pune Airport
- Land: 20 acres
- Built-up: 24000 sq. mtr.
- Capacity: 4 Million Wheels p.a. , expandable up to 6 Mn



Robotic CNC Cells

Smart Conveyers

Auto Storage System

Product Portfolio

AGVs

CNC Robot

Pouring Robot

Replacement of Heater is now hassle-free and that to be in very little time....it's a big deal....

Kalyani Enterprises has launched New Electrical Aluminum Melting/Holding Furnace with a single shank heater, With this new technology, we can replace the damaged heater without removing the Crucible and without Shutting down the furnace for long time. Due To This Feature, You can Save Lots Of Time And Money.....

Note :- you can use this type of heater in your existing furnace with small modifications

KEY FEATURES

- No need to remove Crucible
- No need to cool down the furnace at room temperature
- Reduce production losses thus reduce energy consumption
- Increase crucible life
- Easy to remove & replace
- Heater replacement cost will be 40% due to new single leg Design
- Reduce Down time.
- Simple Design

Heater Replacement time

Activity	Brick Lined Aluminium Melting furnace	Ceramic Insulated Aluminium Melting furnace 2 Leg Heater	New Design Aluminium Melting furnace 1 Leg Heater
Cooling time	15 - 18 Hrs.	10-12 Hrs	No need to Cool down*
Top Plate Removing time	15 Min	15-30 Mins	15-30 Mins
Crucible Removal time	15-20 Min	15-20 Min	No need to remove crucible
Failed Element identification	15-20 Min	15-20 Min	15-20 Min
Element Replacement time for 1 Element	30-45 Min	30-45 Min	10-15 Min
Crucible Installation Time	15-20 Min	15-20 Min	No need to remove crucible
Top Plate Fixing time	15 Min	15-30 Mins	15-30 Mins
Heating Time for 1st melt	4-6 Hrs	3-4 Hrs	0.5 - 1 Hrs.
Total Down Time	17-20 Hrs.	15-19 Hrs.	1.5 - 2.6 Hrs.
	Time saving compare to Brick lined furnace		**15.5 - 17.4 Hrs.**
	Time saving compare to Fibre lined furnace		**13.5 - 16.4 Hrs.**

Contact Us

Kalyani Enterprises

Plot No. 30/10, F-2 Block, MIDC Pimpri,Pimpri Pune 411018 Maharashtra.

+91 9822060733 /+91 8308815022 marketing@kalyanifurnaces.com

www.kalyanifurnaces.com / www.kalyanifurnaces.in

Scan For Product Brochure

Scan Here

Watch Video

International Women's Day Celebration
8th March 2023

Great Diecasting Technology Forum celebrated IWD on 8th March 2023.

Master of ceremony by Kruttika Kher

Mrs. Sarika Mahashabde, the managing director of Apt Pneumatics which is a part of the IEC group of companies, graced the function as a Chief Guest. APT Pneumatics is a renown manufacturer of industrial pneumatic tools.

Seven lady engineers working on shopfloor in Diecasting Industry, were Felicitated on this occasion.

Chief Guest Mrs. Sarika Mahashabde

Ms. Kruttika Kher was Master of Ceremony who narrated the brief history of IWD and explained the theme of IWD 2023 "EMBRACE EQUITY".

Chief Guest in her address emphasized the importance of every one to have mentor. Continuous learning, Understanding of Finance, and Use of power with responsibility are the Key factor for Self Development and ended her speech with few more pearls of wisdom to be successful. Kruttika introduced Ms. Pooja Sapre who co-orindated the further programme.

Programme coordinated by Pooja Sapre

Ms. Pooja Sapre, introduced the participants and asked them interesting questions. Each participant had a different and very interesting story to tell and the crowd applauded them for their courage, determination and efficiency in handling their jobs as well as other challenges in their life. Mr B.P.Poddar gave an example of how he was inspired by the women in his life. Chief Guest Felicitated all lady engineers and the lady staff of GDCTECH Forum. Felicitations of the chief guest by Mr. Anil Kulkarni.

Mr. R. T. Kulkarni proposed a special thanks to MINDA CORPORATION LTD., UNO MINDA LTD., VICTORY PRECISIONS PVT. LTD., who deputed their engineers. He also thank Kruttika and Pooja for conducting excellently the programme.

1. Harshada Musale

She Hails from Ahmednagar.
She has completed her Diploma & is pursuing 3rd Year BE Engg while working currently.
She Started job at an early age of 19 and was always committed to study & become an Engg right from childhood.
Harshada is a very hard working , courageous and aims to bring financial strength to herself by consistently working in her current position.
Currently working as a VMC / CNC Operator in Yuno minda.

2. Rajshree Kale

She is a mechanical engg from ahmednage.
She is very communicative and believes working cohesively with the team indeed brings success.
Has started her career with being a Quality Inspector, Moved to working as an MR and now is working in the training dept of a YUNO minda...In all 6 to 7 years of experience in Engg Industry. Currently her profile is hand holding for new joiners ....and finally hand over to their managers...
Happily married to a Doctor and maintaining good work and life balance.

3. Anuradha Shinde

She is a commerce Graduate, Moved to skill herself to complete degree of Mechanical Draftsman and then PGDBM. Her 1st job was in a IT company, 2nd job at a bank.

She Joined Uno minda and accepted a very challenging role which very few women would accept. She is working as Assistant to the Business Head Yuno Minda "KD Sir" ie Kishor Sir.

5. Amruta malji

She is from Solapur and moved to Pune for work.

She has done her Diploma in mech Engg and is aspiring to complete her Degree. Started working at a young age of 19 ... Worked in casting industry for more than 2 years. Currently working as NPD engg in spark minda Chakan.

6. Nikita kangutkar

Nikita is a BE Mech. She moved from Kolhapur to Pune for joining her 1st company Victory Precision Pvt Ltd.

Currently she is working as jr Executive Ppc and is in charge of planning to delivery to customer. She has a creative side to her personality and was always inclined to be a fashion designer.

However here she is now working in a Mechanical Industry and enjoying the learning process.She is good at communication, internally with team , externally with vendors as well as Customers.

4. Dipali varade

She has done her mech Engg from Dhule and was selected thru campus for her 1st job at Spark Minda.

She joined as NPD engg and Processing RFQ, Costing, initial Feasibility, preparing Qtn, negotiations as her current tasks.

She believes in skill development and is very ambitious about her career. She has set a short term goal for herself to become Asst Mgr NPD.

7. Renuka Shahakar Shende

Another team member from Victory precision as our invitee for the day.

She did her Mech Engg from Satara. Joined as a Grad trainee at SKF.

Further moved to Victory precision and is now working in Marketing dept

She is also pursuing her Post grad in international Business. Processing RFQ, Preparing Qtns, Participating in Exhibitions and customer interaction are in her current scope of work. She has been excellent in academics, thought Mechanical engg is a field of less competition for Women ..hence she chose to become a Mech Engg. She says ..I am a life long learner...

GET INSPIRED

WHAT WOMAN CAN DO!

Ms. K.Bharathi Aruchamy

Managing Director

JAI NIDHI AUTOMATION

Coimbatore

She is an arts graduate Started with 1 PDC machine in 2014 now she is having 10 pdc machines, GDC plant, and having machine shop with 20 Cnc, 20 VMC doing 80% automotive parts.

Challenges she faced in her life made her to enter in to this Industry and with the support of her team she is working hard to bring this company a globally recognised one. Marching towards 100 cr within 2025 is her goal.

GDCTECH wish her the best...

The P&L Statement Understanding, Controlling & Maximizing Profit For the Die Casting fraternity

Kishor Dukare
Vice President, UNO MINDA LTD., Email: kdukare@mindagroup.com

Understanding the PAL Statement Abbreviation

- RM : Raw material,
- BOP : Brought out Parts,
- GVA : Gross Value addition,
- EBIDTA : Earnings Before Interest, Depreciation @ Amortization.
- PBT: Profit Before Income Tax.
- PAT : Profit After Income Tax.

Understanding the PAL .



Understanding the PAL



PAL STATEMENT		
		Jan-23
Sr. Nos.	PERTICULARS	Rs (Cr)
A	SALES INCOME	
B	RM - BOP COST	
1	Aluminium Cost	
2	BOP Cost	
C	GVA	
D	OPERATIONAL COST	
1	Power Cost	
2	Fuel Cost	
3	Manpower Cost	
4	Consumable Cost	
5	Repair & Maintenance Cost	
6	Packing Cost	
7	Freight Cost	
8	Over Heads	
E	EBIDTA	
F	FINANCE COST	
1	Interest	
2	Interest	
G	EBDT	
H	DEPRICIATION	
I	PBT	
J	TAXES	
K	PAT	

Understanding the PAL



PAL STATEMENT		
		Jan-23
Sr. Nos.	PERTICULARS	Rs (Cr)
A	SALES INCOME	
B	RM - BOP COST	
1	Aluminium Cost	
2	BOP Cost	
C	GVA	
D	OPERATIONAL COST	
1	Power Cost	
2	Fuel Cost	
3	Manpower Cost	
4	Consumable Cost	
5	Repair & Maintenance Cost	
6	Packing Cost	
7	Freight Cost	
8	Over Heads	
E	EBIDTA	
F	FINANCE COST	
1	Interest	
2	Interest	
G	EBDT	
H	DEPRICIATION	
I	PBT	
J	TAXES	
K	PAT	

Understanding the PAL

SALES INCOME	−	RM & BOP EXPENSES	=	GVA
GVA	−	OPERATING COST	=	EBIDTA
EBIDTA	−	FINANCE COST	=	PBDT
PBDT	−	Depreciation	=	PBT
PBT	−	TAXES	=	PAT

Sales Income – RM & BOP Cost – Operational Cost - Financial Cost - Depreciation - Tax = PAT

PAL : Controlling the COST (& Maximizing Profit).



Controlling the COST & (Maximizing Profit).

Team work for Cost Control:



Team for reducing RM Cost.

RM & BOP EXPENSES

Expenses for purchasing of Aluminium and BOP parts

Some time Customer supply RM & BOP parts

Marketing Staff: Negotiate RM /BOP price one time and carryout small correction on some decide frequency.

To get best prices from customer.

Purchase Staff: Negotiate with RM /BOP Supplier and try to get lowest possible purchase price.

To Procure RM at lowest Purchase Rates from Supplier.

Manufacturing Staff: On going Job of reducing waste

Reduce Melting loss,
Reduce BOP parts loss

Team Manufacturing for Controlling Cost.

Role of Manufacturing Team to maximise the profit

Improving profit by reducing Operational Cost.

Operational Cost Includes,

a) Power Cost,
b) Fuel Cost,
c) Manpower Cost (Direct & indirect)
d) Consumable Cost,
e) Repair & Maintenance Cost,
f) Packing Cost,
g) Freight Cost,
h) Over Head Cost.

TIPS ON CONTROLLING
THE
OPERATIONAL COST

Team Manufacturing : Cost Down Profit Up Initiative

a) RM & BOP Cost :

Expenses towards Purchasing Aluminium, BOP parts.
Minimise the Melting loss (Burning losses)

- Yield Improvement,
- Eliminate Excessive deburring, Fettling etc.
- Eliminate Metal loss,
- Excess weight of castings,
- Create mechanism to measure and control the losses on daily basis.

Operational Coat

a) Power Cost:

Expenses towards Purchasing the Power,

- Use Solar power,
- Increase OEE,
- Use of Power efficient equipment's,
- Reduce Losses like air leakages, Heat losses, etc.,
- Maintaining Unity Power Factor,

b) Fuel Cost:

Expenses towards Purchasing the Fuels,
Gas, Furnace Oil, Diesel, etc

- Use of cheapest fuel, (Rs / MMBTU)
- Increase OEE, (Calculated @ 24 x 7 basis)
- Use of Fuel efficient equipment's,
- Reduce Losses like Heat losses, Excessive Heating etc.,
- Waste heat recovery,
- Increase equipment utilisation up to 100 % of the time,
- Utilising furnaces @ 100 % of rated capacity output.

c) Manpower Cost: Expenses all type of Manpower, Direct and Indirect Manpower

- Maximise the OEE,
- Optimise Use of Automation , Semi automation in the factory,
- Eliminate all type of NVA's (Non Value added
- Understand the meaning of NVA's and property identify the NVA's in the factory.
- Improve the "Out Put Per Person",
- Eliminate all 16 type of losses,

d) Consumable Expenses on all type of Consumables

Optimise Consumption:

- Determined the exact qty. required per casting/ per Kg / Per day etc.
- Create mechanism to control and measure the usage on daily basis,
- Eliminate causes of excess usage.
- Developing Alternate supplier for optimising purchase price,
- Developing Alternate material for cost reduction,
- VAVE Ideas for Cost reduction , Life enhancement,
- liminate, Repair, Reuse,

e) Repair & Maintenance Cost:

Expenses towards, repair maint. o f plant & machinery.

- 100 % adherence of PM schedule,
- Achieve Zero machine break downs by implementing "PM Pillar Activities"
- Implementing JH activities, through out the factory,
- Support manufacturing team for improving Up time,
- Developing indigenous sources for imported machine spares,
- Improve life of machine Spares,
- Eliminate, Repair, Reuse,
- Eliminate losses like leakages, spillage etc.,

e) Packing Cost: Expenses towards purchase of packaging Material.

- Optimise the Packaging design,
- Use of alternate material,
- Achieve Zero Losses of packaging material,
- Use of reusable packing,

f) Freight Cost: Expenses towards Transportation of finish goods.

- Optimise the Packaging design for 100% space utilisation, in transport vehicle.
- Reduce traveling distance if possible.
- Eliminate multiple handling, loading Unloading,
- Use of cheapest mode of transport,
- Use of vehicle (fuel type), for which per Km cost is minimum

g) Over Head Expenses towards Factory Overheads.

- Min. Manpower,
- Optimisation of various expenses. Eliminate, Minimise.

Team Marketing : Role

- Maximize the sale, for 100 % plant utilisation
- Better sale price,
- Adjustment for RM Indexing,
- Optimisation of various expenses. Eliminate, Minimise.

Team PE : Role

- Efficient, Faster New Product Launch,
- First Time Right Quality.

Key words

Operational Cost Reduction

☆ OEE IMPROVEMENT
☆ ELIMINATE
☆ LOSS REDUCTION
☆ YEILD IMPROVEMENT
☆ WEIGHT CONTROL
☆ MEASURE AND CONTROL
☆ SOLAR POWER RECOVERY
☆ REUSE
☆ UTILISATION AUTOMATION
☆ INVENTORY REDUCTION
☆ NVA
☆ ULTERNATIVE
☆ EFFICIENCY
☆ VA VE
☆ REJECTION CONTROL
☆ FIRST TIME RIGHT

MEETING WITH COIMBATORE MEMBERS FOR MEGA EVENT ON 10th MARCH 2023

MEETING WITH AHMEDABAD MEMBERS FOR MEGA EVENT ON 14TH MARCH 2023

FURNTECK

EFFICIENT | ECONOMICAL | RELIABLE

Furnteck's most trusted
Tower Melting Furnace
is **now**
Extra Efficient



Energy consumption
<575 **KWH/TON @ 53 LTRS/Ton of F.O.**

with METAL YIELD of
>99.4%

HIGH **Quality**
METAL

LOWER GAS
INCLUSION

Complete Solution for Aluminium Industry under one roof

Direct Bale Out Type

Electrical Holding (Crucible)

Top Heated Holding

Ladle Preheater

Kattle Type
Transfer Laddle

Address: Gat No.244/1, Near Courtyard Marriot, Chakan-Talegaon Road, Khalumbre, Chakan, Pune - 410 501.
Mob: +91 9850 499 663 / +91 9075 020 450
Email: response@furnteck.com | **Website:** www.furnteck.com

Venue
Chennai Trade Centre
Chennai, India

GDC TECH Great Die Casting mega event 2023 ALUMINIUM DIECASTING EXHIBITION & CONFERENCE

1-2-3 December 2023
(Friday to Sunday)

PATRON

FOSECO

SUPPORTERS

AAKAR FOUNDRY PVT. LTD.	ALPHACRAFT	Aurangabad Electricals Ltd. A CIE AUTOMOTIVE GROUP COMPANY	ZEISS CARL ZEISS INDIA PVT LTD.
Inspiron Innovation First	maxop eminence with excellence...	Morgan Advanced Materials	BAGLA
CERAFLUX ISO 9001 Certified Company	DIMO CASTINGS PVT. LTD	ESKO	Forace Group
POOJA CASTING PVT.LTD	Pyrotek	TVS Sundaram-Clayton Limited	UNO MINDA

Prominent Manufacturer in
Aluminum Foundry Machines
SINCE 2008

J B Engineering and Automation

An ISO 9001:2015 Certified Company

- GDC Machines
- Tilting GDC Machines
- LPDC Machines
- Degassing Units
- Hydraulic Cylinders
- Hydraulic Power Packs

TILT GDC MACHINE

TILT GDC SHOCK ABSORBER MACHINE

2 WHEELER ALLOY WHEEL VERTICAL GDC MACHINE

Authorised Distributor of

O.MLER

Innovative Solutions

Address : Gat No. 228, Barane Wasti, Behind D-Mart Mall, Moshi - Dehu Road, Moshi, Pune - 412105. MH. India.
Mobile : +91 93251 96727 / 98236 71338
E-mail : pramod.j@jbengineering.co.in, rahul.b@jbengineering.co.in

www.jbengineering.co.in | www.jbengg.in

Foundry Products for Non-Ferrous Metals

Since 1856, Morgan Molten Metal Systems is a pioneer and a global leader in supplying technically advanced range of foundry products to Non-Ferrous Foundries.

Syncarb Z2e²

Suprex-E Plus

BNI

Transfer Ladle

Degassing Rotor & Baffle Plate

Degassing Tube

Blue Lightning

Complete Degassing Solution

Morgan has introduced a complete solution to degassing needs of the foundries.

Mobile Degassing Unit

Hoist-Able Degassing System

Reduced Pressure Tester

Density Index Measuring System

Morgan
Advanced Materials

Morganite Crucible India Ltd.
(ISO 9001 Company)
B-11, M.I.D.C, Waluj, Aurangabad - 431136
Maharashtra, India

Contact:
vikramsinh.nalawade@morganplc.com
+91 937 0591146
Web: www.morganmms.com